(1) (3)

S.B.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BIALSPUR

CHHATTISGARH. **Single Bench**

Civil Revision. No. 96 /2006.

APPLICANTS:

REVISIONISTS

1. SURESH, S/o Ganesh Sahu, aged about 30 years, residence of Village Sarekha, P.O. Mahudambi, Tahsil Gunderdehi, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

2. Thagiya Bai, W/o Khilawan Sahu, aged about 30 years, residence of village Sarekha, Tahsil Gunderdehi, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

P.R. No. 1901/06 Presented by Shri Dnyaneshwar Sahu dated 24/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

NON-APPLICANTS

1. Harakh Ram, S/o Gend Singh Sinha, aged about 55 years, residence of village Biretara, Tahsil Gunderdehi, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

2. Indal Ram , S/o Prem lal Sinha, aged about 52 years.

3. Narad, S/o Indal Ram Sinha, aged about 35 years.

(2) (4)

4.Daan Singh, S/o Indal Ram Sinha, aged about 30 years.

5. Setram, S/o Indal Ram Sinha, aged about 27 years.

6. Smt.Juthi Bai, W/o Indal Ram .

All resident of village Sarekha, Tahsil Gunderdehi, District Durg, Chhattisgrah.

CIVIL REVISION UNDER SECTION 115 OF CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

(28)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...C.R...94/...06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19.07.2006 Shri N.S. Dhurandhar, Counsel for the applicants. Heard on admission. This is a petition filed under Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure against the order dated 29/4/2006 passed in Execution Case No.18 B/2004. It is stated that an objection taken by the Objector under Order 21 Rule 58 and 59 of CPC has been rejected by the Executing Court. Learned counsel for the applicants, after arguing for sometime, prays for withdrawal of the petition. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	

P.T.O.